IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 17TH DECEMBER 2007 / 26TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 35300 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

M.K. KRISHNADAS,
SECTION OFFICER (HG),SREE SANKARACHARYA UNIVERSITY OF SANSKRIT, KALADY P.O., ERNAKULAM-683574 AND RESIDING AT MANIYALLIL HOUSE, KALADY-683574.

BY ADV. SRI.SANTHOSH MATHEW
SRI.SATHISH NINAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE CHANCELLOR,
SREE SANKARACHARYA UNIVERSITY OF SANSKRIT,KALADY AND GOVERNOR, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, RAJ BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
SREE SANKARACHARYA UNIVERSITY OF SANSKRIT,KALADY.

3. THE REGISTRAR,
SREE SANKARACHARYA UNIVERSITY OF SANSKRIT,KALADY.

4. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
SCHEDULED CASTE & SCHEDULED TRIBES DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

5. THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, POLICE HEADQUARTERS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

6. DR.P.K.SOMASEKHARAN UNNI,
SYNDICATE MEMBER, SREE SANKARACHARYA UNIVERSITY OF SANSKRIT, KALADY AND RESIDING AT "MANOJ NIVAS", MATTOOR, KALADY-683574, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.SHYAM KRISHNAN FOR R6
SPECIAL GOVT.PLEADER SRI.D.SANTHOSH KUMAR.R
SRI.B.S.KRISHNAN, SC, SREE SANKARACHARYA UNIVERSITY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 17/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

W.P.(C) No. 35300 OF 2007 B

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 17th December, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The writ petition has been filed praying to direct the respondents to take action on Exts. P1 and P2 which involve allegations against the 6th respondent of having violated the provisions of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Attrocities) Act, 1989.

2. It is now submitted by the respondents that the petitioner had filed a complaint before the Registrar of the University and that the same was forwarded to the Kalady Police Station who had summoned the 6th respondent and that he has been questioned by the police. It is also stated that the Intelligence Bureau had also questioned the 6th respondent on 27-9-2007. It is stated that on the representation that has been made by the petitioner, the Syndicate has constituted a Three Member Committee to go into the correctness of the allegations levelled against the 6th respondent.

3. Thus it is evident that the respondents have initiated action

on the complaints filed by the petitioner. In view of this I do not think that the direction sought for by the petitioner in this writ petition requiring the respondents to take action on Exts. P1 and P2 is called for.

The writ petition is closed.

ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE

jan/-